

POROUS PAVEMENT
PHASE I - DESIGN AND OPERATIONAL CRITERIA

BY
Elvidio V. Diniz
Espey, Huston & Associates, Inc.
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87110

Grant No. R806338

Project Officer
Hugh Masters
Storm and Combined Sewer Section
Municipal Environmental Research Laboratory (Cincinnati)
Edison, New Jersey 08817

This study was conducted in cooperation with
The City of Austin, Texas

MUNICIPAL ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH LABORATORY
OFFICE OF RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT
U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
CINCINNATI, OHIO 45268

DISCLAIMER

This report has been reviewed by the Municipal Environmental Research Laboratory, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, and approved for publication. Approval does not signify that the contents necessarily reflect the views and policies of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, nor does mention of trade names or commercial products constitute endorsement or recommendation for use.

FOREWORD

The Environmental Protection Agency was created because of increasing public and government concern about the dangers of pollution to the health and welfare of the American people. Noxious air, foul water, and spoiled land are tragic testimony to the deterioration of our natural environment. The complexity of the environment and the interplay between its components require a concentrated and integrated attack on the problem.

Research and development is that necessary first step in problem solution and it involves defining the problem, measuring its impact, and searching for solutions. The Municipal Environmental Research Laboratory develops new and improved technology and systems for the prevention, treatment, and management of wastewater and solid and hazardous waste pollutant discharges from municipal and community sources, for the preservation and treatment of public drinking water supplies, and to minimize the adverse economic, social, health, and aesthetic effects of pollution. This publication is one of the products of that research; a most vital communications link between the research and the user community.

The development of porous pavement is a recognition of the interplay between two components of our physical environment—water and earth. Porous pavement utilization attempts to sustain physical processes ongoing under natural conditions. A reorientation of urban land use from exclusion of infiltration of surface water to enhancement of infiltration can be successful with regard to both the short and long term impact of urban development.

Francis T. Mayo, Director
Municipal Environmental Research
Laboratory

ABSTRACT

The overall objective of this research was to determine factors which influence runoff and water quality from areas using various porous pavement designs. The resulting information will be used to develop design criteria for potential porous pavement construction.

The first phase of this project, as reported herein, was to accumulate all available design, construction, and operational data for existing porous asphalt pavement areas. This report summarizes these data. Phase II of the project will compare the runoff and water quality characteristics of porous pavement to other kinds of conventional and experimental paving materials. Phase II results will be presented in a separate report.

Porous asphalt pavement consists of a relatively thin course of open graded asphalt mix over a deep base made up of large size crushed stone aggregate. The open graded asphalt mix has a minimum of fines (two percent or less passing the Number 200 sieve) and consequently forms a porous matrix for water to pass through to the gravel base and underlying ground. The water can be stored in the voids between the large gravel in the base material until it can percolate into the subbase or be drained through lateral drainage schemes. In this way, peak runoff to storm sewers or drainage channels can be reduced, ground water recharge is enhanced, and the cost of drainage improvements is reduced. The major cost reduction is a result of the elimination of curbs, drains, and storm sewers which are required under conventional drainage design. Additionally, storm water pollution and flooding can be reduced or eliminated.

Other porous pavement types include concrete lattice blocks with grass growing in the interstices (grasscrete) and a concrete mix with sufficient air voids to make it porous.

The development of porous pavement is an efficient combination of two existing highway drainage practices--open graded asphalt mix sealcoats and open graded crushed stone bases. However, the installation of porous pavement is possible only on well drained soils or soils provided with additional relief subsurface drainage.

Previous experience with porous pavement by various designers, contractors, and operators, has been evaluated and reduced to specific design and operational criteria which are presented herein. A set of sample specifications is included in Appendix A to this report.

A brief discussion of the advantages, as well as, the disadvantages of porous pavement utilization, a brief history of the development and previous uses of open

graded asphalt friction courses, and a generalized computer program applicable to the design of all porous and non-porous parking areas are included in this report.

This report is submitted in fulfillment of Grant Number R806338 by Espey, Huston and Associates, Inc. working under a subcontract with the City of Austin, Texas. This project is sponsored by the United States Environmental Protection Agency. The report covers the period February 1, 1979 to August 1, 1979, and work was completed as of the latter date.

CONTENTS

| <u>Section</u> | <u>Page</u> |
|--|-------------|
| Foreword | iii |
| Abstract | iv |
| List of Figures | viii |
| List of Tables | ix |
| Abbreviations and Symbols | x |
| Acknowledgments | xii |
| 1. Introduction | i |
| 2. Conclusions | 10 |
| 3. Recommendations | 13 |
| 4. Background | 16 |
| 5. Description of Porous Asphalt Pavements | 20 |
| 6. Advantages and Disadvantages of Porous Asphalt Pavement Usage | 25 |
| 7. Design Considerations | 30 |
| 8. Computer Model for Hydrologic Design | 47 |
| References | 60 |
| Appendix | |
| A. Sample Specifications for Porous Asphalt Pavement | 62 |
| B. Hydrologic Soil Group Classifications | 78 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| <u>Figure</u> | | <u>Page</u> |
|---------------|--|-------------|
| 1 | Original Open Graded Base Course Application | 19 |
| 2 | Porous Asphalt Paving - Typical Section | 21 |
| 3 | Hydrologic Model Parameters for Porous Pavement | 48 |
| 4 | Izzard's Dimensionless Hydrograph of Overland Flow | 50 |
| 5 | Triangular Approximation of Evaporation | 57 |

LIST OF TABLES

| <u>Table</u> | | <u>Page</u> |
|--------------|---|-------------|
| 1 | Existing Porous Pavement Areas | 4 |
| 2 | Technical Data for Existing Porous Pavement Areas | 5 |
| 3 | Owners and Designers for Existing Porous Pavement Sites | 7 |
| 4 | Friction Coefficients for Porous Pavement Surfaces | 28 |
| 5 | Soil Strength Categories | 34 |
| 6 | Minimum Thickness of Porous Paving for Various Loading Conditions | 35 |
| 7 | Aggregate Gradation Limits for Porous Asphalt Mixes | 36 |
| 8 | Asphalt Content for Porous Asphalt Mixes | 38 |
| 9 | Effects of Varying Asphalt Content and Mixing Temperatures on Porous Pavement Mixes | 40 |
| 10 | Porous Pavement Design Thickness for Frost Depth | 42 |

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND SYMBOLS

ABBREVIATIONS

| | |
|-------------------|---|
| CBR | --California Bearing Ratio |
| cm | --centimeter |
| EAL | --equivalent axle load |
| FHWA | --Federal Highway Administration |
| ha | --hectare |
| kg | --kilogram |
| km | --kilometer |
| kPa | --kilopascal |
| m | --meter |
| m ² | --square meter |
| m ³ | --cubic meter |
| mm | --millimeter |
| MT | --metric ton |
| NAVFAC | --Naval Facilities Engineering Command |
| O _{evap} | --evaporative outflow |
| O _{hors} | --horizontal outflow |
| O _{vert} | --vertical outflow |
| USEPA | --U.S. Environmental Protection Agency |
| WES | --U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Waterways Experiment Station |

SYMBOLS

| | |
|-----------------|--|
| a | --cross sectional area of surface water |
| A | --cross sectional area of flow element |
| C | --input weir coefficient |
| E | --instantaneous evaporation |
| E _p | --peak evaporation rate |
| E _t | --total daily evaporation |
| h _o | --depth of dead surface storage on porous pavement |
| h ₁ | --depth of surface water at time t ₁ |
| h ₂ | --depth of surface water at time t ₂ |
| H | --depth of flow |
| ΔH _i | --change of water depth at boundary |
| i | --rainfall intensity |

| | |
|------------|---|
| I | --inflow into the reservoir |
| k | --lumped coefficient for effects of slope and flow retardance |
| K | --permeability of flow element |
| L | --length of overland flow |
| L | --input weir length |
| N | --input roughness coefficient |
| O | --outflow from the reservoir |
| P | --pavement perimeter |
| q | --flow rate per unit width |
| q_e | --equilibrium flow |
| Q | --total mass flow rate |
| s | --input energy slope |
| S | --coefficient of storage of aquifer |
| Δt | --time increment |
| t_1 | --beginning time of time increment |
| t_2 | --ending time of time increment = $t_1 + \Delta t$ |
| t_a | --time after rainfall has ceased |
| t_e | --time to equilibrium |
| t_c | --clock time |
| T | --aquifer transmissivity |
| v | --velocity of flow |
| V | --volume of aggregate |
| V_e | --equilibrium surface detention volume |
| V_o | --surface detention volume |
| w | --width of flow |
| W | --total weight of surfacing mixture |
| Y | --computed depth of flow |

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The cooperation of the City of Austin, Texas, Engineering Department, is gratefully acknowledged. Mr. Charles Graves, City Engineer, provided grant supervision and project guidance, and Mr. Richard Halstead maintained fiscal control over the grant. I am particularly indebted to Mr. Troy Ulmann and Mr. Ramon Miguez of the Watershed Management Section, for their cooperation, active support, and sustained interest in the project.

Information on the development and initial application of porous pavement was supplied by Mr. Edmund Thelen and Mr. Richard Hollinger of the Franklin Institute Research Laboratories, Mr. Albert Iamurri of Mirick Pearson Ilvonen Batcheler, Mr. Joachim Tourbier of the University of Pennsylvania, Mr. Douglas P. Lloyd of New Castle County, Delaware, Mr. Leonard Cannatelli of the University of Delaware, Mr. Otto Fischer of the Klett Organization, Mr. G. F. Haack of the Department of Housing and Construction, Perth, Australia, and Mr. Walter R. Hunzicker of Zurich, Switzerland. All of the information and support provided by these individuals is sincerely appreciated.

This project was conducted under the supervision of Dr. William Espey, Jr. and Mr. Joseph Beal. Data compilation and reduction were performed by Mr. Billy Goolsby and Mr. Craig Horton. Dr. Brent Rauhut provided guidance for porous asphalt structural design and also developed the sample specifications presented herein.

I would also like to acknowledge Mr. Richard Field, Chief, Storm and Combined Sewer Section and Mr. Hugh Masters, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Project Officer, for their cooperation, guidance and assistance on this project.